Call-in Request Form

This form must be completed and signed by THREE City of York Councillors and MUST be returned to Democratic Services within 5 working days of the decision being published (not including the day of publication).

| Decision taker: | Executive |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Date of publication of decision: | 17 November 2023 |
| Title of Decision Called in: | Castle Gateway Update: - Castle Mills - St George's Field Car Park - Castle & Eye of York |
| Date Decision Called in: | 20 November 2023 |

| | DEA | SONS FOR CALL-IN | Tick which reason applies | | |
|----|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| 1. | | sion contrary to the policy framework? | | | |
| 2. | Decis | | | | |
| 3. | acco | Decision is Key but it has not been dealt with in accordance with the Council's Constitution. | | | |
| 4. | maki | Decision does not follow principles of good decision- making set out in Article 7 of the Council's Constitution. | | | |
| | If reason 4, please tick which specific element of Article 7 the decision maker has not followed, did he or she not: | | | | |
| | (a) | Meaningfully consider all alternatives and, where reasonably possible, consider the views of the public. | | | |
| | (b) | Understand and keep to the legal requirements regulating their power to make decisions. | | | |
| | (c) | Take account of all relevant matters, both in general and specific, and ignore any irrelevant matters. | X | | |
| | (d) | Act wholly for proper purpose and/or in the | | | |

| | interests of the public. |
|-----|---|
| (e) | Keep to the rules relating to local government finance. |
| (f) | Follow procedures correctly and be fair. |
| (g) | Make sure they are properly authorised to make the decisions. |
| (i) | Take appropriate professional advice from Officers. |

Detailed Reason(s) for Call-in.

- In relation to Castle Mills, due regard has not been given to the potential impact of developing the bridge and riverside route separately from the wider development including the proposed public park. Planning permission in this sensitive area relied heavily on enabling benefits, and insufficient consideration has been given to the risk that securing future development of the site may be more problematic as a result of components of the development having been de-coupled.
- Insufficient consideration has also been given to the risk that failure to progress the site will lead to planning permission lapsing, potentially incurring significant additional costs through the applicability of new building regulations.
- In relation to car parking at Castle and St George's Field, the decision signals that a 50% reduction is to be made to localised parking provision. This decision is not supported by a wider parking review as agreed by Executive to inform any future car park closures. No assessment of risk is evident such as the possibility of private operators making up a reduction in CYC provision, no assessment is made of the likely positive or negative economic impacts and there is no evidence of consultation with businesses.
- There is no assessment of the impact to the council of a loss of parking income on top of the potential abortive costs associated with the decision, and no mitigation strategy is evident.
- There is no assessment of the impact on the future of the Piccadilly multi-storey car park of the December 2019 council motion to restrict all non-essential private motor vehicle journeys within the city walls
- There is no identified funding stream for the proposed development of the Castle car park site in the absence of enabling development.
- In general, there is a lack of clarity around the extent to which due regard has been given to financial considerations – including the potential impact on the Housing Revenue Account - when making these decisions, as relevant financial information has largely been hidden from public view.

| | Name (please print) | Signature (please note that signatures will not be published with the agenda. Electronic signature will be accepted) | Date |
|----|---------------------|--|----------|
| 1 | Nigel Ayre | Nigel Ayre | 20/11/23 |
| 2 | Ashley Mason | Ashley Mason | 20/11/23 |
| 3. | Darryl Smalley | Darryl Smalley | 20/11/23 |

For office use only:

Received on behalf of the Monitoring Officer by: Dawn Steel

Name: DAWN STEEL Date: 20/11/23 Time:

Validation Check (if necessary):

Monitoring Officer / Chief Operating Officer

Valid: YES

Reason: In accordance with constitutional requirements
Completed by: Som Males

Date:

Time:

